Case 1:19-cv-06704-PGG Document 31 Filed 09/27/19 Page 1 of 16



Sullivan & Worcester LLP 1633 Broadway New York, NY 10019

212 660 3000 sullivanlaw.com

By ECF

September 27, 2019

Honorable Paul G. Gardephe United States District Judge Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse 40 Foley Square New York, New York 10007

Re: Dominion Capital LLC v. ShiftPixy, Inc., 19-cv-6704 (PGG)

Dear Judge Gardephe:

We are writing on behalf of Dominion Capital LLC ("Dominion") in opposition to Defendant ShiftPixy, Inc. ("ShiftPixy")'s application for a protective order both for previously-produced and to-be-produced discovery. In addition, we are writing to request the Court to order ShiftPixy to produce the previously-promised but not yet provided documents responsive to Dominion's First Request for Production of Documents to ShiftPixy dated August 20, 2019 (the "Document Demand") without further delay.

By way of background, Dominion served a Demand for Production of Documents and Notices to Depose ShiftPixy's Chief Executive Officer Scott Absher and former Chief Financial Office Patrice Launay on August 20, 2019. On August 30, 2019 and September 3, 2019, ShiftPixy produced documents in response to the Document Demand and represented that its production was complete. ShiftPixy made no request for a protective order covering those documents and none would have been appropriate. Dominion then deposed Mr. Absher on September 5, 2019 and Mr. Launay on September 6, 2019, in Irvine, California.

During the course of those depositions, it became clear, and ShiftPixy's counsel agreed, that multiple responsive documents had not been produced pursuant to the Document Demand. Those documents are as follows:

Scott Absher Deposition Transcript	Document(s)
Page Number	Document(s)
rage Number	
51	Email exchanges between Scott Absher and Patrice Launay
	regarding these depositions
110; 184	Accounting memo and drafts thereof prepared for Q3 2018
	regarding the Notes
122-23	Signed Board of Directors resolution or authorization approving
	the ten million share buyback
239-241	Scott Absher's notes regarding the conversation in early June 2019
	about potentially restructuring the Notes

Honorable Paul G. Gardephe September 27, 2019 Page 2

241-242	Scott Absher's e-mail to the group of noteholders during the initial
	restructuring conversation

(The relevant transcript pages are attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.)

Patrice Launay Deposition Transcript Page Number	Document(s)
36	Patrice Launay's emails and versions of documents relating to ShiftPixy's 2019 10-Q filings, as saved on ShiftPixy's systems
45-46	Email exchanges between Patrice Launay and ShiftPixy's auditors regarding calculations under the Notes
191	Patrice Launay's worksheet for Dominion Capital and overall summary worksheet
228	Board of Directors Minutes for any meetings where Patrice Launay spoke about the Notes

(The relevant transcript pages are attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.)

Counsel discussed adjourning the depositions pending production of the documents but instead, since counsel had already traveled to California and the witnesses were available, ShiftPixy's counsel agreed to make production immediately following the depositions. We have still not received production. On September 17, 2019, counsel informed us for the first time that production would not be made in the absence of executing a protective order. We immediately objected and demanded production pursuant to ShiftPixy's discovery obligations and counsels' agreement.

We object to ShiftPixy's request for a protective order for the following reasons:

First, ShiftPixy produced documents pursuant to the Document Demand without a protective order and the documents at issue should have been produced at that time.

Second, ShiftPixy has neither identified any documents that warrant a protective order nor articulated any need for a protective order.

Third, ShiftPixy is a public company and ShiftPixy does not have a basis, and certainly has not set forth a basis, to restrict the information from being in the public domain.

Fourth, Dominion should not be placed in the position of being in possession of material, non-public information since it is an investor and ShiftPixy shareholder. Producing the documents pursuant to a protective order could place Dominion into that unacceptable position.

Case 1:19-cv-06704-PGG Document 31 Filed 09/27/19 Page 3 of 16

Honorable Paul G. Gardephe September 27, 2019 Page 3

We respectfully request the Court to deny ShiftPixy's request for a protective order and, instead, to direct ShiftPixy to fulfill its discovery obligations and make immediate production of the above-identified, agreed-to documents. Alternatively, we respectfully request a hearing at which ShiftPixy be directed to appear and present a particularized basis for seeking a protective order for each of the above-identified documents.

ShiftPixy also requests an extension of time to October 8 to respond to Dominion's Motion for Summary Judgment. While we remain anxious for an expeditious resolution, Dominion does not object if that is the Court's preference, and we respectfully request the same six days to file a Reply that the Court originally scheduled, and thus until October 14.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Peter R. Ginsberg
Peter R. Ginsberg
David E. Danovitch

cc: Martin E. Karlinsky (by ECF)
John C. Clough (by ECF)

Exhibit 1

Scott Absher September 5, 2019 Deposition Transcript <u>Excerpts</u>

	Page 50		Page 52
1	A We replaced him.	1	drained. He was going through the Q, and he was forced
2	Q Was it a company decision?	2	to restate some things that he had a disagreement with
3	A It was.	3	the auditor on that were not familiar, but they were
4	Q What was the basis for deciding to replace	4	they still needed to be restated. I think he felt that
5	him?	5	that was a reflection on his work, which we didn't
6	A We felt that he was highly stressed, and he	6	believe. I didn't believe it was a reflection on his
7	had expressed a desire to quit and move to another	7	work. It was a mistake. You fix it and moved on.
8	either another position or away from the firm. So he	8	But he was highly stressed at that period of
9	had expressed that. And so we became concerned that we	9	time. I was empathetic more as a friend that he was
10	probably ought to look for a replacement and be	10	really he was really stressed and couldn't find a
11	proactive about it.	11	balance, couldn't find a way to shut things down and
12	Q Did he identify for you any particular issues	12	not work on the weekends. He had a lot of pride in his
13	about the company or the way the company was being	13	work and was focused on precision. So I think it
14	managed?	14	stressed it put a lot of stress on him.
15	A No, he did not.	15	Q How long had he been with the company?
16	MR. CLOUGH: Objection to the form.	16	A I don't know. Over a year. I don't know the
17	BY MR. GINSBERG:	17	exact time but over a year, I believe.
18	Q Did he raise any concerns about anything	18	Q Had you worked with him before?
19	relating to the company finances?	19	A We had. We had met him when he was part of
20	A He did not.	20	our prior auditor's audit team. He was there for,
21	Q Does he have a severance package?	21	like, a two-week period at the very beginning, and then
22	A He does not.	22	he moved on. But we got to we got to watch him
23	Q He is not receiving any money from ShiftPixy?	23	interact with our staff and watched we tried to
24	A He is not.	24	understand our business and the moving parts of the
25	Q Have you spoken to him since he left?	25	business, and we were quite impressed with his
	Page 51		Page 53
1	Page 51 A We had some email exchanges. I think it was	1	Page 53 approach.
1 2	-	1 2	
	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was		approach.
2	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges.	2	approach. Q What's he doing now?
2	 A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email 	2	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know.
2 3 4	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges?	2 3 4	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him
2 3 4 5	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case,	2 3 4 5	 approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition?
2 3 4 5 6	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it	2 3 4 5 6	 approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case? A I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex financial calculation that he missed and was pointed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case? A I don't know. Q Did anyone ask you for your copies of those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex financial calculation that he missed and was pointed out to him by the auditors, but it was a miss that had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case? A I don't know. Q Did anyone ask you for your copies of those emails?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex financial calculation that he missed and was pointed out to him by the auditors, but it was a miss that had happened in the prior quarter. So in doing the more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case? A I don't know. Q Did anyone ask you for your copies of those emails? A No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex financial calculation that he missed and was pointed out to him by the auditors, but it was a miss that had happened in the prior quarter. So in doing the more recent quarter, Q3, the auditor said we need to go back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case? A I don't know. Q Did anyone ask you for your copies of those emails? A No. Q Did you provide copies of those emails to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex financial calculation that he missed and was pointed out to him by the auditors, but it was a miss that had happened in the prior quarter. So in doing the more recent quarter, Q3, the auditor said we need to go back and restate Q1. It was an item below the line. It was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case? A I don't know. Q Did anyone ask you for your copies of those emails? A No. Q Did you provide copies of those emails to anyone?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex financial calculation that he missed and was pointed out to him by the auditors, but it was a miss that had happened in the prior quarter. So in doing the more recent quarter, Q3, the auditor said we need to go back and restate Q1. It was an item below the line. It was a small adjustment, not material.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case? A I don't know. Q Did anyone ask you for your copies of those emails? A No. Q Did you provide copies of those emails to anyone? A No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex financial calculation that he missed and was pointed out to him by the auditors, but it was a miss that had happened in the prior quarter. So in doing the more recent quarter, Q3, the auditor said we need to go back and restate Q1. It was an item below the line. It was a small adjustment, not material. But then it slightly delayed our third Q
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case? A I don't know. Q Did anyone ask you for your copies of those emails? A No. Q Did you provide copies of those emails to anyone? A No. MR. GINSBERG: I do think those fall within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex financial calculation that he missed and was pointed out to him by the auditors, but it was a miss that had happened in the prior quarter. So in doing the more recent quarter, Q3, the auditor said we need to go back and restate Q1. It was an item below the line. It was a small adjustment, not material. But then it slightly delayed our third Q because they wanted to be certain of this. But we had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case? A I don't know. Q Did anyone ask you for your copies of those emails? A No. Q Did you provide copies of those emails to anyone? A No. MR. GINSBERG: I do think those fall within the scope of the demands. We'll deal with that issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex financial calculation that he missed and was pointed out to him by the auditors, but it was a miss that had happened in the prior quarter. So in doing the more recent quarter, Q3, the auditor said we need to go back and restate Q1. It was an item below the line. It was a small adjustment, not material. But then it slightly delayed our third Q because they wanted to be certain of this. But we had to go back and amend Q2 with this little, subtle change
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case? A I don't know. Q Did anyone ask you for your copies of those emails? A No. Q Did you provide copies of those emails to anyone? A No. MR. GINSBERG: I do think those fall within the scope of the demands. We'll deal with that issue. Q Describe your relationship with Mr. Launay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex financial calculation that he missed and was pointed out to him by the auditors, but it was a miss that had happened in the prior quarter. So in doing the more recent quarter, Q3, the auditor said we need to go back and restate Q1. It was an item below the line. It was a small adjustment, not material. But then it slightly delayed our third Q because they wanted to be certain of this. But we had to go back and amend Q2 with this little, subtle change below the line before they could and restate that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case? A I don't know. Q Did anyone ask you for your copies of those emails? A No. Q Did you provide copies of those emails to anyone? A No. MR. GINSBERG: I do think those fall within the scope of the demands. We'll deal with that issue. Q Describe your relationship with Mr. Launay. A I would say cordial. I would say I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex financial calculation that he missed and was pointed out to him by the auditors, but it was a miss that had happened in the prior quarter. So in doing the more recent quarter, Q3, the auditor said we need to go back and restate Q1. It was an item below the line. It was a small adjustment, not material. But then it slightly delayed our third Q because they wanted to be certain of this. But we had to go back and amend Q2 with this little, subtle change below the line before they could and restate that before they could move on because that number had to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case? A I don't know. Q Did anyone ask you for your copies of those emails? A No. Q Did you provide copies of those emails to anyone? A No. MR. GINSBERG: I do think those fall within the scope of the demands. We'll deal with that issue. Q Describe your relationship with Mr. Launay. A I would say cordial. I would say I would say friendly. I still view him in friendly terms. My	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex financial calculation that he missed and was pointed out to him by the auditors, but it was a miss that had happened in the prior quarter. So in doing the more recent quarter, Q3, the auditor said we need to go back and restate Q1. It was an item below the line. It was a small adjustment, not material. But then it slightly delayed our third Q because they wanted to be certain of this. But we had to go back and amend Q2 with this little, subtle change below the line before they could and restate that before they could move on because that number had to be moved over to Q3.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A We had some email exchanges. I think it was limited to email exchanges. Q What was the subject matter of the email exchanges? A This deposition. Somehow I think he construed it as though we were taking action against him, and I tried to explain to him that that was not the case, this was a deposition, it had nothing to do with us, it was just questions he needed to answer. Q Do you know whether those email exchanges were produced as part of discovery in this case? A I don't know. Q Did anyone ask you for your copies of those emails? A No. Q Did you provide copies of those emails to anyone? A No. MR. GINSBERG: I do think those fall within the scope of the demands. We'll deal with that issue. Q Describe your relationship with Mr. Launay. A I would say cordial. I would say I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	approach. Q What's he doing now? A I don't know. Q Do you have any plans to communicate with him after your deposition? A I don't. Q What were the areas that had to be restated? A It had something to do with these financial documents. There are all kinds of tricks and traps in these documents, and the auditors caught something. It had to do with volatility. And it's a very complex financial calculation that he missed and was pointed out to him by the auditors, but it was a miss that had happened in the prior quarter. So in doing the more recent quarter, Q3, the auditor said we need to go back and restate Q1. It was an item below the line. It was a small adjustment, not material. But then it slightly delayed our third Q because they wanted to be certain of this. But we had to go back and amend Q2 with this little, subtle change below the line before they could and restate that before they could move on because that number had to be

эпі	Page 110		Page 112
	· ·		-
1	and forced to spend a lot more time in these documents	1	THE WITNESS: I don't know that I had any
2	because he had to write an accounting memo for the	2	obligation.
3	auditors; and as he was writing the accounting memos,	3	BY MR. GINSBERG:
4	he started uncovering all kinds of things that had to	4	Q Do you believe you did not have an obligation?
5	be accounted for, and he realized how complex these	5	A No.
6	things were.	6	Q You don't know one way or the other?
7	Q What accounting memo are you referring to?	7	A I don't know one way or the other.
8	A There was an accounting memo that I believe	8	Q Whether financial or not, was there any kind
9	was in preparation for Q3 regarding the notes.	9	of analysis or memorandum that set forth the company's
10	Q I think that should have been produced as	10	payment and conversion obligations under the note
11	well. If not, we'll ask for it now, but	11	before it was executed?
12	MR. FLAGG: We have an ongoing agreement.	12	A No. There was supposed to be an amortization
13	MR. GINSBERG: Excuse me? Will you produce	13	schedule that no one could find for some mysterious
14	that?	14	reason, and the amortization schedule would have tied
15	MR. CLOUGH: To the extent the document	15	everything out. No one can find it to this date.
16	exists, we'll certainly adhere to our ongoing	16	Q What efforts have been made to find the
17	responsibilities.	17	amortization schedule?
18	MR. GINSBERG: Thank you.	18	A I just know that it's been a conversation; but
19	Q Did you believe that Mr. Hoo understood the	19	I don't participate in the query, the hunt, or any of
20	payment and conversion obligations under the note?	20	that kind of stuff. So I don't know what its status is
21	A I don't believe so.	21	or whether or not it's been found.
22	Q Did you believe at the time he did?	22	Q Who has told you that it could not be found?
23	A I don't know.	23	A In-house counsel.
24	Q Did you ever ask him if he understood it?	24	Q Anyone else?
25	A I did not.	25	A I don't know. I don't know.
	Page 111		Page 113
1	Q Have you asked him subsequently?	1	Q Who did you who, to the best of your
2	A I have not.	2	knowledge, prepared the amortization schedule?
3	Q Have you asked Mr. Launay subsequently if he	3	A I don't know.
4	had understood the payment and conversion obligations?	4	Q Do you know if one was prepared?
5	A No.	5	A I do not.
6	O Did you believe anyone else on your side of	6	O What makes you believe one was prepared?
7	the table understood the payment and conversion	7	MR. CLOUGH: Object to the form. Assumes
8	obligations?	8	facts not in evidence.
9	A No.	9	THE WITNESS: I don't know one way or the
10	Q Did you believe at the time of execution that	10	other, prepared or not prepared.
11	anyone else on your side of the table understood?	11	BY MR. GINSBERG:
12	A I had my expectation was Mr. Launay had a	12	Q Has anyone ever told you that one was
13	pretty good mastery of the document.	13	prepared?
14	Q Do you believe, as CEO, that you had an	14	A I don't know.
15	obligation to the shareholders in the company to	15	Q This may be some comfort to you. I'm going to
16	understand the company's payment and conversion	16	show you Exhibit 1.
17	obligations?	17	A Oh, good.
18	A I don't know.	18	(Deposition Exhibit 1 was marked for
19	Q Have you had a discussion with anyone	19	identification by the reporter, a
20	regarding that topic?	20	copy of which is attached hereto.)
21	A No.	21	MR. GINSBERG: Should we go off the record for
22	Q Is it your testimony sitting here today you	22	a second?
23	still don't understand or you still don't know if	23	MR. FLAGG: No.
24	you had such an obligation?	24	BY MR. GINSBERG:
25	MR. CLOUGH: Object to the form.	25	Q Do you recognize Exhibit 1?
	Cara Coject to the form.	1-5	20 Journey Daniel I.

	TITAT, INC.		September 3, 2017
	Page 12	2	Page 124
1	referring to?	1	Q Where was the money going to come from to buy
2	A I don't know that there was a written plan	2	the stock?
3	created.	3	A Our operating account.
4	Q Who created the plan that you are referring	4	Q What is the what was the plan for what was
5	to, whether it was written or not?	5	going to happen to the stock that was being
6	A The market created the plan. The market tells	6	repurchased?
7	you what you can do.	7	A It would have been retired to the treasury.
8	Q Mr. Absher, you just said, for instance, if	8	Q And what financial analysis was prepared to
9	there was a cap on the buyback of \$10 million.	9	determine whether that plan was beneficial to the
10	A That was	10	viability of the company?
11	Q \$10 million or 10 million shares?	11	A None.
12	A 10 million shares.	12	
			Q Who made the recommendation to create this
13	Q And what are you referring to when you say	13	plan?
14	there's a "cap"?	14	A I don't remember.
15	A 10 million shares. The board authorized us to	15	Q There was no financial analysis prepared. Was
16	purchase up to 10 million shares. That was their	16	there any kind of analysis prepared?
17	authorization.	17	A No.
18	Q What else was involved in the board	18	Q What was your understanding of why such a plan
19	authorization?	19	would have been good for the company?
20	A I don't remember what else was in the	20	A The feedback from people that were more
21	authorization. Oh, I'm sorry. Duration probably.	21	familiar with the investor relations side of these
22	Yeah, that would have been the other thing, duration.	22	types of issues said that it would be a good thing.
23	Q What was the duration?	23	The stock price was hammered down to, like, the \$.50
24	A I don't remember the specific duration.	24	level. So the opportunity to retire cheap shares just
25	Q Was there a start date?	25	seemed like not a bad thing to do under the
	Page 12	3	Page 125
1			•
1 2	A No start date.	1	circumstances. Also you don't want this we
2	A No start date.Q Is there a specific board authorization or	1 2	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level
2	A No start date.Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan?	1 2 3	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a
2 3 4	 A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. 	1 2 3 4	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions.
2 3 4 5	 A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? 	1 2 3 4 5	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who
2 3 4 5 6	 A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it 	1 2 3 4 5	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as well?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things. Q What's the name of your IR firm?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as well? Q When was the board resolution or authorization	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things. Q What's the name of your IR firm? A ICR.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as well? Q When was the board resolution or authorization provided?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things. Q What's the name of your IR firm? A ICR. Q How long have you used them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as well? Q When was the board resolution or authorization provided? A I don't remember the date exactly.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things. Q What's the name of your IR firm? A ICR. Q How long have you used them? A For two years, I believe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as well? Q When was the board resolution or authorization provided? A I don't remember the date exactly. Q Was it before or after Alpha filed its	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things. Q What's the name of your IR firm? A ICR. Q How long have you used them? A For two years, I believe. Q Do you deal directly with anyone from ICR?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as well? Q When was the board resolution or authorization provided? A I don't remember the date exactly. Q Was it before or after Alpha filed its lawsuit?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things. Q What's the name of your IR firm? A ICR. Q How long have you used them? A For two years, I believe. Q Do you deal directly with anyone from ICR? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as well? Q When was the board resolution or authorization provided? A I don't remember the date exactly. Q Was it before or after Alpha filed its lawsuit? A After, I believe.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things. Q What's the name of your IR firm? A ICR. Q How long have you used them? A For two years, I believe. Q Do you deal directly with anyone from ICR? A Yes. Q With whom?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as well? Q When was the board resolution or authorization provided? A I don't remember the date exactly. Q Was it before or after Alpha filed its lawsuit? A After, I believe. Q Was it before or after Dominion filed its	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things. Q What's the name of your IR firm? A ICR. Q How long have you used them? A For two years, I believe. Q Do you deal directly with anyone from ICR? A Yes. Q With whom? A Vance Edelson and Brad Cohen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as well? Q When was the board resolution or authorization provided? A I don't remember the date exactly. Q Was it before or after Alpha filed its lawsuit? A After, I believe. Q Was it before or after Dominion filed its lawsuit?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things. Q What's the name of your IR firm? A ICR. Q How long have you used them? A For two years, I believe. Q Do you deal directly with anyone from ICR? A Yes. Q With whom? A Vance Edelson and Brad Cohen. Q And who are the investment managers or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as well? Q When was the board resolution or authorization provided? A I don't remember the date exactly. Q Was it before or after Alpha filed its lawsuit? A After, I believe. Q Was it before or after Dominion filed its lawsuit? A I believe before.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things. Q What's the name of your IR firm? A ICR. Q How long have you used them? A For two years, I believe. Q Do you deal directly with anyone from ICR? A Yes. Q With whom? A Vance Edelson and Brad Cohen. Q And who are the investment managers or investment brokers that you say you also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as well? Q When was the board resolution or authorization provided? A I don't remember the date exactly. Q Was it before or after Alpha filed its lawsuit? A After, I believe. Q Was it before or after Dominion filed its lawsuit? A I believe before. Q Have any actions been taken to effectuate this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things. Q What's the name of your IR firm? A ICR. Q How long have you used them? A For two years, I believe. Q Do you deal directly with anyone from ICR? A Yes. Q With whom? A Vance Edelson and Brad Cohen. Q And who are the investment managers or investment brokers that you say you also A We had Benchmark. We talked to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as well? Q When was the board resolution or authorization provided? A I don't remember the date exactly. Q Was it before or after Alpha filed its lawsuit? A After, I believe. Q Was it before or after Dominion filed its lawsuit? A I believe before. Q Have any actions been taken to effectuate this plan?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things. Q What's the name of your IR firm? A ICR. Q How long have you used them? A For two years, I believe. Q Do you deal directly with anyone from ICR? A Yes. Q With whom? A Vance Edelson and Brad Cohen. Q And who are the investment managers or investment brokers that you say you also A We had Benchmark. We talked to Jason Diamond had subsequently moved from Drexel to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A No start date. Q Is there a specific board authorization or resolution authorizing this plan? A Yes. Q Is it a resolution? A I don't know what the governance name for it would be. Q Have you seen it? A I know I had to sign it; but, yeah, I probably have seen it. MR. GINSBERG: Can I get a copy of that as well? Q When was the board resolution or authorization provided? A I don't remember the date exactly. Q Was it before or after Alpha filed its lawsuit? A After, I believe. Q Was it before or after Dominion filed its lawsuit? A I believe before. Q Have any actions been taken to effectuate this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	circumstances. Also you don't want this we thought if we could bring the stock back up to a level that would be helpful to the noteholders would be not a bad idea also so that we could resume redemptions. Q When you say "the feedback from people," who are the people you are referring to? A Just like our investor relations firm out of New York. We mentioned that we were contemplating this. We'd also talked to a couple of investment banks, broker dealers, and said we were contemplating this. We didn't know much about these types of things. Q What's the name of your IR firm? A ICR. Q How long have you used them? A For two years, I believe. Q Do you deal directly with anyone from ICR? A Yes. Q With whom? A Vance Edelson and Brad Cohen. Q And who are the investment managers or investment brokers that you say you also A We had Benchmark. We talked to

SHII	FIPIXY, INC.		September 5, 2019
	Page 182		Page 184
1	using the calculation that is set forth in the "Notice	1	A No. The accounting memo would have it
2	of Conversion"; correct?	2	would appear around the same time as Alpha. It could
3	MR. CLOUGH: Object to the form. Calls for	3	have been. It coincided with that third-quarter audit
4	speculation.	4	where he had to put together the accounting memo. So
5	THE WITNESS: I mean, if you are asking if the	5	it was probably right before or right around the time
6	math extrapolates that, does it line up with the	6	we had to really get into the accounting implication of
7	issuance instruction form, it does.	7	these instruments.
8	BY MR. GINSBERG:	8	MR. GINSBERG: Can you try to get me that memo
9	Q And is it your understanding that your company	9	before Patrice's deposition tomorrow so I don't have to
10	had an obligation to review the calculation before	10	come back here for a second day?
11	issuing before executing the issuance instruction	11	MR. CLOUGH: We will endeavor to locate all
12	form?	12	documents responsive to your request for production.
13	MR. CLOUGH: Object to the form. Calls for a	13	MR. GINSBERG: I certainly appreciate that.
14	legal conclusion.	14	Q Do you recall anyone questioning the
15	THE WITNESS: I would agree that we would have	15	calculation before an issuance form was executed?
16	had to somewhere along the line review this to confirm	16	A I remember conversations questioning some of
17	it or amend it.	17	those calculations.
18	BY MR. GINSBERG:	18	Q Before the issuance form of Exhibit 9?
19	Q As a matter of fact, your company your	19	A Yeah. I would think that there was a question
20	offices of your company had an obligation to your	20	along the way. I don't see the specifics on some of
21	shareholders and to the company to assure that the	21	these transactions. The earlier ones, I believe, were
22	right number of shares were being issued; correct?	22	pretty straightforward. Where it started to get a
23	MR. CLOUGH: Object to the form. Calls for a	23	little dicey was after the stock price started
24	legal conclusion.	24	dropping. The calculations were in question just
25	THE WITNESS: The problem is that we were	25	because they were different for each one of the
	Page 183		Page 185
1	there was a conversation somewhere earlier on where	1	noteholders.
2	nobody attested or protested a conversion request.	2	Q When do you believe that those conversations
3	They are coming in at such a fast pace by all of the	3	began?
4	firms that they were, I think, just moving them along.	4	A I don't recall exactly.
5	I don't think they were being questioned early on.	5	Q Who was involved in those conversations?
6	BY MR. GINSBERG:	6	A It would have been Patrice and Mark.
7	Q So is it your testimony that the officers of	7	Q So they had internal conversations?
8	your company were not fulfilling their obligation to	8	A Yeah, I believe so; or in some cases, they may
9	assure the accuracy?	9	have pushed back via email, asking them to
10	A I think they were	10	double-check. And I know there were occasions where
11	MR. CLOUGH: Object to the form. Assumes	11	I don't know if it was specific to Dominion, but some
12	facts not in evidence. Mischaracterizes testimony.	12	of the funds would they would go back there would
13	THE WITNESS: Okay. Any other question? They	13	be some shuttle conversation, and some of the funds
14	were I think the answer is they were trying to honor	14	would say "Yeah, I see. Yeah, I know. You are right.
15	the demands of the noteholders.	15	That is the wrong calculation."
16	BY MR. GINSBERG:	16	Q Do you recall seeing any emails with Dominion
17	Q Were they trying to honor their obligations to	17	along the lines of what you just described?
18	the shareholders and to the company as well?	18	A I believe so. I believe so.
19	A Both. Both, yes.	19	Q From when?
20	Q And when do you believe for the first time	20	A I don't know what the period would have been.
21	that anyone suggested that maybe the calculation was	21	Q How were those issues resolved?
22	not correct?	22	A Very quickly and amicably. I think there was
23	A I think when Patrice got into the accounting	23	an agreement that "Yeah, you are right. That is the
24	memo.	24	calculation." It wasn't a it wasn't contentious at
25	Q After the lawsuits were filed?	25	all.

SHI	FIPIXY, INC.		September 5, 2019
	Page 238		Page 240
1	Mr. Diamond after receiving the amortization payment?	1	Q Tell me about that conversation.
2	A Probably in this time frame when this	2	A I told him that we are in a place that none of
3	conversation was percolating.	3	us planned to be. The bankers put us in this place. I
4	Q Did you call him?	4	wanted to get together with everybody, and I wanted to
5	A Yes.	5	talk about restructuring the notes.
6	Q Do you have any notes of that conversation?	6	Q And what was his response?
7	A I don't.	7	A He was amicable to that.
8	Q What did Mr. Diamond say when you told him you	8	Q So what happened?
9	were not going to honor the cash request?	9	A We had the conversations with each of the
10	A He didn't really respond to that.	10	funds individually, and everybody was amenable to
11	Q Did you discuss with him whether you had any	11	coming up with a resolution, and then we brought
12	basis to reject the request?	12	everybody together on a group conference call.
13	A No.	13	Lynne Bolduc was kind of taking notes on the
14	Q Did he give you an opinion as to whether you	14	conversation so that she could summarize the request
15	had a basis to reject the request?	15	that we were making; and we had some just slight banter
16	A No.	16	back and forth about what would work for each of the
17	Q Did you tell him any basis that you had for	17	funds and what wouldn't. And so we kind of got some
18	rejecting the request?	18	ideas in principle about what to come back to them
19	A No.	19	with, which we did about 30 minutes after the group
20	Q Did you seek counsel from anybody about	20	conversation. We circulated back to everyone with a
21	whether you had a basis for rejecting the request?	21	"Here's our request, and we are waiting feedback."
22	A No.	22	Q Did you I'm sorry. I didn't understand
23	Q Did you give an explanation to anyone at	23	that. Here's our request from what?
24	Dominion about why you were rejecting the request?	24	A The restructuring standpoint. "Here's what
25	A When you follow the chronology, Mike is asking	25	we'd like to request."
	12 Whom you rouse the chirology, rame is usuary		in a mile to request
	Page 239		Page 241
1	about about talking about the payment. I said that	1	Q Do you have any notes of the conversation or
2	about about talking about the payment. I said that will be a part of our conversation. The setup there	2	notes of what you proposed?
		3	A I do.
3	was we are going to talk about how we are going to revisit the notes and how we'd like to restructure	4	
4			
5	things.	5	A They might be in your discovery, but they were
6	MR. GINSBERG: Could you repeat my question,	6	part of our package.
7	please.	7	MR. GINSBERG: I'm not aware of any notes
8	(The record was read as follows: "Did	8	having been produced.
9	you give an explanation to anyone at	9	MR. CLOUGH: We will review what we have
10	Dominion about why you were rejecting	10	produced, and to the extent that we need to supplement,
11	the request?")	11	we will respond to your request for production.
12	THE WITNESS: Eventually, yes.	12	BY MR. GINSBERG:
13	BY MR. GINSBERG:	13	Q How extensive are the notes?
14	Q When?	14	A It was a summary of the conversation. I think
15	A Subsequent to this, when Mike and I finally	15	there were four primary deal points and then some
16	talked.	16	subsequent conversation. It was circulated to all of
17	Q When did you and Mr when did you and he	17	the noteholders at the same time via email and awaiting
18	speak?	18	their feedback.
19	A It would have been based on this	19	Q You said there was also an email that you
20	chronology, it would have been after 6-5. Let's see.	20	sent?
21	Yeah, it would have been after 6-5, sometime after	21	A Yes, right immediately following the group
22	June 5th, so presumably June 6th or 7th, something like	22	conversation, the group conference call. We
23	that.	23	Lynne Bolduc was present, again, just to record the
23			
24	Q Do you recall having a conversation with him?	24	talking points and then distribute them back to all the
	Q Do you recall having a conversation with him?A I do.	24 25	talking points and then distribute them back to all the noteholders.

SCOTT ABSHER September 5, 2019

энп	TPIXY, INC.		September 5, 2019
	Page 242		Page 244
1	MR. GINSBERG: Would you put that on your list	1	Q That's the second document, but I think you
2	as well.	2	said
3	THE WITNESS: It's the	3	A No. There's no second document.
4	MR. FLAGG: It's a letter; right?	4	Q Oh. Thank you. I thought you said that you
5	THE WITNESS: No. It's an email that Lynne	5	had taken notes and then there was a subsequent email.
6	sent out to the group, the entire group.	6	A No, no. Lynne Bolduc took the notes based on
7	MR. CLOUGH: Which would have been inclusive	7	the conversation. We had a preliminary kind of list
8	of counsel's client?	8	for her about what it was we were going to ask so she
9	THE WITNESS: Correct.	9	had an idea of what was going to be requested; and then
10	MR. GINSBERG: Inclusive of what?	10	she summarized the conversation, including feedback or
11	MR. CLOUGH: Your client.	11	requests back the other way from the investor group.
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, Dominion would have been a	12	Q And did she take contemporaneous notes during
13	party to that.	13	those conversations?
14	MR. GINSBERG: We are not aware of any such	14	A She did.
15	email.	15	Q And then memorialized the notes in email to
16	THE WITNESS: It exists.	16	the participants?
17	MR. GINSBERG: Maybe you guys can get it	17	A Yeah. Summarized the talking points, yes.
18	before tomorrow.	18	MR. GINSBERG: And I assume you won't produce
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah.	19	the attorney's notes. Good assumption?
20	MR. GINSBERG: Do you guys need a break?	20	MR. CLOUGH: That would be a fair assumption.
21	MR. CLOUGH: No.	21	BY MR. GINSBERG:
22	BY MR. GINSBERG:	22	Q But the email
23	Q The June '19 2019 payment was never made;	23	A Yes.
24	correct?	24	Q I appreciate that. I didn't understand.
25	A The June what?	25	MR. GINSBERG: What was this one marked as?
	Pogo 242		Pogo 245
	Page 243		Page 245
1	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct?	1	THE REPORTER: Five.
2	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct?A It was not made.	2	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG:
2	 Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit 	2	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5?
2 3 4	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document	2 3 4	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't.
2 3 4 5	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852.	2 3 4 5	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018,
2 3 4 5 6	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for	2 3 4 5 6	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A,"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure. (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct? A That's correct. Just an "Exhibit A." It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure. (Off the record.) BY MR. GINSBERG:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct? A That's correct. Just an "Exhibit A." It appears to be an amortization schedule.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure. (Off the record.) BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Mr. Absher. Let me go back for a second. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct? A That's correct. Just an "Exhibit A." It appears to be an amortization schedule. Q The communication from Mr. Manuel references
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure. (Off the record.) BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Mr. Absher. Let me go back for a second. You testified that you had taken notes about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct? A That's correct. Just an "Exhibit A." It appears to be an amortization schedule. Q The communication from Mr. Manuel references that schedule to show that, in fact, the payment was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure. (Off the record.) BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Mr. Absher. Let me go back for a second. You testified that you had taken notes about the conversation you had with the noteholders subsequent to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct? A That's correct. Just an "Exhibit A." It appears to be an amortization schedule. Q The communication from Mr. Manuel references that schedule to show that, in fact, the payment was due and owing; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure. (Off the record.) BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Mr. Absher. Let me go back for a second. You testified that you had taken notes about the conversation you had with the noteholders subsequent to the June amortization request and that there was then a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct? A That's correct. Just an "Exhibit A." It appears to be an amortization schedule. Q The communication from Mr. Manuel references that schedule to show that, in fact, the payment was due and owing; correct? A I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure. (Off the record.) BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Mr. Absher. Let me go back for a second. You testified that you had taken notes about the conversation you had with the noteholders subsequent to the June amortization request and that there was then a follow-up email; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct? A That's correct. Just an "Exhibit A." It appears to be an amortization schedule. Q The communication from Mr. Manuel references that schedule to show that, in fact, the payment was due and owing; correct? A I don't know. Q That's what the letter says?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure. (Off the record.) BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Mr. Absher. Let me go back for a second. You testified that you had taken notes about the conversation you had with the noteholders subsequent to the June amortization request and that there was then a follow-up email; correct? A That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct? A That's correct. Just an "Exhibit A." It appears to be an amortization schedule. Q The communication from Mr. Manuel references that schedule to show that, in fact, the payment was due and owing; correct? A I don't know. Q That's what the letter says.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure. (Off the record.) BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Mr. Absher. Let me go back for a second. You testified that you had taken notes about the conversation you had with the noteholders subsequent to the June amortization request and that there was then a follow-up email; correct? A That's correct. Q And I want to make sure I understand both of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct? A That's correct. Just an "Exhibit A." It appears to be an amortization schedule. Q The communication from Mr. Manuel references that schedule to show that, in fact, the payment was due and owing; correct? A I don't know. Q That's what the letter says? A That's what the letter says. Q And the last sentence says "If you'd rather
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure. (Off the record.) BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Mr. Absher. Let me go back for a second. You testified that you had taken notes about the conversation you had with the noteholders subsequent to the June amortization request and that there was then a follow-up email; correct? A That's correct. Q And I want to make sure I understand both of those documents. With regard to the notes, are those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct? A That's correct. Just an "Exhibit A." It appears to be an amortization schedule. Q The communication from Mr. Manuel references that schedule to show that, in fact, the payment was due and owing; correct? A I don't know. Q That's what the letter says? A That's what the letter says. Q And the last sentence says "If you'd rather give us stock than cash payment, please advise Dominion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure. (Off the record.) BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Mr. Absher. Let me go back for a second. You testified that you had taken notes about the conversation you had with the noteholders subsequent to the June amortization request and that there was then a follow-up email; correct? A That's correct. Q And I want to make sure I understand both of those documents. With regard to the notes, are those handwritten notes of yours?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct? A That's correct. Just an "Exhibit A." It appears to be an amortization schedule. Q The communication from Mr. Manuel references that schedule to show that, in fact, the payment was due and owing; correct? A I don't know. Q That's what the letter says? A That's what the letter says. Q And the last sentence says "If you'd rather give us stock than cash payment, please advise Dominion of that"; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure. (Off the record.) BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Mr. Absher. Let me go back for a second. You testified that you had taken notes about the conversation you had with the noteholders subsequent to the June amortization request and that there was then a follow-up email; correct? A That's correct. Q And I want to make sure I understand both of those documents. With regard to the notes, are those handwritten notes of yours? A No. They were they were emails. It was an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct? A That's correct. Just an "Exhibit A." It appears to be an amortization schedule. Q The communication from Mr. Manuel references that schedule to show that, in fact, the payment was due and owing; correct? A I don't know. Q That's what the letter says? A That's what the letter says. Q And the last sentence says "If you'd rather give us stock than cash payment, please advise Dominion of that"; correct? MR. CLOUGH: Objection. The document speaks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q The June 2019 payment was never made; correct? A It was not made. MR. GINSBERG: Let's identify as Exhibit I'm sorry as Exhibit 5 a two-page document Bates-stamped ShiftPixy-851 and 852. (Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for identification by the reporter, a copy of which is attached hereto.) MR. CLOUGH: Counsel, can we go off the record for one second? MR. GINSBERG: Sure. (Off the record.) BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Mr. Absher. Let me go back for a second. You testified that you had taken notes about the conversation you had with the noteholders subsequent to the June amortization request and that there was then a follow-up email; correct? A That's correct. Q And I want to make sure I understand both of those documents. With regard to the notes, are those handwritten notes of yours?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE REPORTER: Five. BY MR. GINSBERG: Q Do you recognize Exhibit 5? A I don't. Q This appears to be a November 2, 2018, amortization payment request of \$16,166.66 of interest and \$227,272.73 of payments under the senior secured note. Do you see that? A I do see that. Q And there's, attached to that as "Exhibit A," an amortization schedule; correct? A That's correct. Just an "Exhibit A." It appears to be an amortization schedule. Q The communication from Mr. Manuel references that schedule to show that, in fact, the payment was due and owing; correct? A I don't know. Q That's what the letter says? A That's what the letter says. Q And the last sentence says "If you'd rather give us stock than cash payment, please advise Dominion of that"; correct?

Exhibit 2

Patrice Launay September 6, 2019 Deposition Transcript <u>Excerpts</u>

	Page	24	Page 36
	raye	: 34	Ÿ
1	A Verbal communication with Sherman of the audit	1	A Some are in emails. I may have the emails,
2	committee, verbal discussion with the company CEO, and	2	or I don't know.
3	verbal communication with an SEC consultant.	3	Q In the instance that we are talking about
4	Q What was Mr. Sherman's reaction when you told	4	regarding the change in Exhibit 23, did you receive
5	him about this issue?	5	comments at that stage by email, or were there comments
6	A I don't recall specifics.	6	or revisions of this additional draft of the document?
7	Q Was he upset?	7	A I don't remember.
8	A Disappointed.	8	Q And then what happens? Do you ultimately
9	Q When you draft a 10-Q as you've just	9	finalize the document?
10	described, do you do it on a computer?	10	A I incorporate all edits and submit it to the
11	A Do I I'm sorry.	11	auditors.
12	Q Do you do it on a computer?	12	Q And did you do that in this instance?
13	A Correct.	13	A Yes.
14	Q And when you make revisions to the 10-Q, do	14	Q And how do you submit it to the auditors? By
15	you do a redline copy of the 10-Q with revisions?	15	email?
16	A Correct.	16	A Yes.
17	Q And you save that on your computer as well?	17	Q And all of those emails and versions of the
18	A Correct.	18	document are retained on the computer system; correct?
19	Q And then you circulate the redlined version to	19	A On my email inbox, yes.
20	the people who received the draft in the first	20	MR. GINSBERG: I'd ask that all of those
21	instance; is that correct?	21	communications and versions of this document be
22	A Correct.	22	produced.
23	Q And then you receive back comments from those	23	MR. CLOUGH: We will review the documents in
24	people to the redlined version; is that right?	24	our possession, and to the extent that we need to
25	A Correct.	25	supplement our response to the request for production,
	Page	35	Page 37
1	Q And are those comments generally redlined also	1	we will do so.
2	from the people who send back the comments?	2	MR. GINSBERG: I appreciate that.
3	A Many different auditors. And I would say no.	3	Q The extension was for five days; correct??
4	It's notes on the document as opposed to a redline from	4	A I believe, yes.
5	auditors.	5	Q And does that mean that Exhibit 23 was
6	Q When the auditors send you back those	6	finalized and filed on or about July 20th?
7	comments, are those sent to you by email?	7	A I would assume so, yes.
8	A Yes.	8	Q And within ten days of that event, you
9	Q And do you save those auditors' comments in	9	resigned; is that right?
	•		A Correct.
10	your system?	10	
11	A Yes. Maybe not all of them.	11	Q To whom did you first tell you were resigning?
12	Q And then, after you receive the auditors'	12	A To the chief executive officer and to the
13	comments, do you do another version of the 10-Q?	13	cofounder, Steve Holmes.
14	A Yes.	14	Q Did you tell them together or independently?
15	Q And is that version saved on your computer as	15	A Independently.
16	well?	16	Q What was Mr. Absher's response when you told
17	A Yes.	17	him you were resigning?
18	Q Then do you circulate this new version to all	18	A "Everybody makes mistakes."
		19	Q Did you discuss specifically the revision in
19	the people that you've identified?	l	
19 20	the people that you've identified? A Yes.	20	Exhibit 23 with Mr. Absher in the conversation when you
		20 21	
20	A Yes.		Exhibit 23 with Mr. Absher in the conversation when you
20 21	A Yes.Q And they return comments to you?	21	Exhibit 23 with Mr. Absher in the conversation when you told him that you were resigning? A In the same conversation?
20 21 22	A Yes.Q And they return comments to you?A Yes.	21 22	Exhibit 23 with Mr. Absher in the conversation when you told him that you were resigning? A In the same conversation? Q Yes.
20 21 22 23	 A Yes. Q And they return comments to you? A Yes. Q And you save those comments as well? 	21 22 23	Exhibit 23 with Mr. Absher in the conversation when you told him that you were resigning? A In the same conversation? Q Yes.

	Page 42	2	Page 44
1	A Regarding the conversion? Which specific	1	A The documentation provided by the auditors
2	Q The first issue we've been discussing.	2	described an inducement loss, described the scenario,
3	MR. CLOUGH: Object to the form.	3	and they concluded that this that since we were
4	BY MR. GINSBERG:	4	giving more shares than the document, hence we were in
5	Q I'm asking you about the first issue. Did the	5	a situation of an inducement loss.
6	auditor ever provide to you any support, calculation,	6	So, to your question, they provided a
7	analysis, or work papers in writing regarding that	7	description of what is an inducement loss from their
8	issue?	8	own internal discussion.
9	MR. CLOUGH: Same objection.	9	Q And what I'm asking you is very specific.
10	THE WITNESS: I believe I was provided with a	10	Did anyone ever provide to you any written
11	guidance. I looked at the guidance, calculated the	11	support for the conclusion that, in fact, the company
12	impact, submitted it to auditors. Auditors reviewed.	12	had issued more shares than it should have issued?
13	Auditors approved.	13	A Accounting support or legal support?
14	BY MR. GINSBERG:	14	Q Either.
15	Q My understanding from your earlier testimony	15	A Accounting support, yes.
16	was the guidance was guidance as to how to recalculate	16	Q What was the accounting support that showed
17	if such an error were made; correct?	17	that you had, in fact, made an improper calculation?
18	A No. What I just mentioned was how to	18	A An extract of a U.S. GAAP.
19	calculate the impact of granting more shares than what	19	Q Which stated what?
20	the legal documents apparently state.	20	A I'm sorry?
21	Q My question to you was whether any kind of	21	Q Which stated what?
22	writing was ever provided to you to support the	22	A When a company grants more shares than what a
23	proposition that you, in fact, had improperly	23	legal document states, it has to recognize an
24	calculated.	24	inducement loss.
25	A Yes. There was probably one extract of a	25	Q Okay. But my question to you is was there any
	Page 43	3	Page 45
1	guidance.	1	written support for the proposition that you, in
2	Q What did the guidance address? Whether you	2	fact that ShiftPixy, in fact, had issued more shares
3	had made the calculation correctly or how the	3	than it was obligated to issue based upon the wording
4	modification should be made if the calculation were	4	of the note?
5	incorrect?	5	A Except what
6	A The extract provided stated the definition	6	MR. CLOUGH: Objection. Calls for a legal
7	of "inducement," which means that the company provides	7	conclusion.
8	more shares than what a legal document states, and	8	THE WITNESS: What I just said, no.
9	secondly, the document states how the loss is	9	BY MR. GINSBERG:
10	calculated.	10	Q No?
11	Q And my question to you, sir, is did anyone	11	A Except the document I just mentioned, no.
12	ever provide to you any written support of the finding	12	Q Did that document make specific references to
13	that, in fact, there was that inducement or that more	13	any provisions of the note?
14	shares were issued than should have been issued?	14	A No.
15	A That's the document received from the	15	Q Did it provide any language from the note
16	auditors, yes.	16	regarding how the calculation was to be made?
17	Q And that explained to you the error that had	17	A Yes.
18	been made in calculating the number of shares that	18	Q It quoted from the note?
19	should have been issued?	19	A Through email with auditors, yes, and verbal
20	A Yes.	20	communication, yes.
21	Q Maybe I misunderstood your earlier testimony.	21	Q Tell me about the email from the auditors that
22	I thought you had said that the auditors provided to	22	quoted from the note regarding how the calculation
23	you guidance regarding how to recalculate but had not	23	should have been made.
24	provided to you support for the conclusion that you	24	A The auditors refer to a specific note from the
25	had, in fact, inaccurately calculated.	25	debenture.
1		1	

	Page	46	Page 48
1	Q The debenture involving Dominion?	1	A No.
2	A Involving all investors.	2	Q Let's use a range.
3	Q And you recall specifically that	3	A I don't know.
4	communication?	4	Q Was it between zero and a hundred?
5	A Yes.	5	A No.
6	Q And it's your belief that such a communication	6	Q Was it between one and two hundred?
7	exists?	7	A I don't remember the principal converted by
8	A There are emails, and there were verbal	8	it depends on the principal converted by Dominion, and
9	communications.	9	it depends on the price at which they converted. So I
LO	Q I'm asking you about the emails now.	10	don't remember.
11	A Yes, I have emails.	11	Q You have no recollection?
12	Q And are those emails on the company's system?	12	A No.
13	A I don't know.	13	Q You can't give me any range?
L4	Q Do you keep them in the company's system?	14	A No.
L -	A I did not delete my emails.	15	Q Did you ever do a calculation of value of the
L 5		16	shares based on the current stock price or the stock
L7	A I did not delete my emails.	17	price at the time this issue arose?
L8	Q I think I've already asked for this, but if	18	A The calculation was based on the difference
L9	these emails exist	19	between the I believe the 249 initial conversion
20	MR. CLOUGH: Again, we will review our	20	price and the conversion price at which the investors
21	records, and to the extent that we need to supplement	21	converted their principal on each day.
22	our response from the request for production, we will	22	Q And you provided such a spreadsheet to the
23	do so.	23	auditors? Is that your testimony?
24	MR. GINSBERG: Thank you.	24	A That's correct.
25	Q And, again, you didn't take any notes of these	25	Q Did you provide notice, or do you know if the
	Page	47	Page 49
1	conversations?	1	company provided notice to Dominion that it had
2	A No.	2	received shares that it supposedly should not have
3	MR. GINSBERG: We've been going about an hour.	3	received?
4	Why don't we take about a ten-minute break.	4	A I am not aware.
5	THE WITNESS: Okay.	5	Q You didn't provide any such notice?
6	MR. GINSBERG: Thank you.	6	A Me personally?
7	(Off the record.)	7	Q Yes, sir.
8	BY MR. GINSBERG:	8	A No.
9	Q Sir, how many shares do you believe Dominion	9	Q And you didn't see any such notice?
LO	received under the conversion notices that it should	10	A No.
.1	not have received?	11	
L2	A I don't remember the exact the exact		Q Did anyone ever tell you that that notice was provided to Dominion?
		12	-
L3	number.	13	A What do you can you please define "notice."
L4	Q Did you ever calculate that number?	14	Q Did anyone ever tell you that Dominion had
L5	A Yeah, I calculated it. I provided a	15	been notified that it received shares it should not
-6	calculation to auditors.	16	have received?
L7	Q To the auditors?	17	A No.
L8	A Yes.	18	Q Did you discuss with anyone whether Dominion
	Q Specifically with regard to Dominion?	19	should be put on notice of this situation?
L9	A Yes.	20	A Yes.
	A 10s.		O Who did you discuss that with 9
20	Q Did you make that communication in writing?	21	Q Who did you discuss that with?
20 21		21 22	A My direct supervisor, CEO.
20 21 22	Q Did you make that communication in writing?		
19 20 21 22 23 24	Q Did you make that communication in writing?A Yes.	22	A My direct supervisor, CEO.

	Page 190)	Page 192
_	1112		
1	and 13.	1	and then a summary sheet where I break all information
2	MR. GINSBERG: I bet you could.	2	for each and every time.
3	MR. FLAGG: You give me too much credit,	3	Q Is that different than Exhibit 4?
4	Counsel.	4	A It's assumed to be from the same worksheet.
5	(Deposition Exhibits 12 and 13 were	5	Q Did you prepare that worksheet up until the
6	previously marked for identification,	6	time you left the company?
7	copies of which are attached hereto.)	7	A Yes.
8	BY MR. GINSBERG:	8	Q Is that Exhibit 4 seems to have ended at
9	Q Exhibit 13 is a "Notice of Conversion" dated	9	some point before your while you were still working
10	3-14-2019.	10	at the company.
11	A Uh-huh. Yes.	11	A So if this conversion was done on 3-14, I
12	Q And that sought the issuance of 519,771	12	should have an explanation for the difference between
13	dollars correct? shares. I'm sorry.	13	those two numbers.
14	A 373,536. Correct.	14	Q Let me show you Exhibit 4 again. We'll
15	Q So the number of shares is 519,771 on the "Notice of Conversion." Is that not correct?	15	just A No. I know where it is. I have it.
16 17		16 17	
18	MR. CLOUGH: You are asking about Exhibit 13? MR. GINSBERG: Yes.	18	Q Does that have the information you just identified?
19		19	A Yes, sir.
20	THE WITNESS: Oh, yes, that's correct. BY MR. GINSBERG:	20	
21	Q And then, if you look at Exhibit 12, that	21	Q That shows the difference in calculation? A Yes, sir.
22	reflects that shares totaling 373,536 were being	22	Q Okay. Perhaps you can help me decipher.
23	issued; correct?	23	Where can you identify for me where the difference
24	A That's correct.	24	in the calculations are?
25	Q Was there a determination that the calculation	25	A Well, there might be a comment on a sale. So
	Q Mass and a determination that the care and an area		12 (Val) there might be a comment of a suite of
	Page 191		Page 193
1			
1	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate?	1	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's
2	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't	1 2	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference
2	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the	1 2 3	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document.
2 3 4	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction.	1 2 3 4	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019.
2 3 4 5	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether	1 2 3 4 5	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from.
2 3 4 5 6	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point?	1 2 3 4 5	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for
2 3 4 5 6 7	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that? A My worksheet.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each investor. So if you don't see okay. Well, it looks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that? A My worksheet. Q Do you have a worksheet for each "Notice of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each investor. So if you don't see okay. Well, it looks like the same. Q Yeah. It looks like it is for Dominion. At
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that? A My worksheet. Q Do you have a worksheet for each "Notice of Conversion"? A No. I have a worksheet for each investor and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each investor. So if you don't see okay. Well, it looks like the same. Q Yeah. It looks like it is for Dominion. At least it matches up somewhat, but the transaction we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that? A My worksheet. Q Do you have a worksheet for each "Notice of Conversion"?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each investor. So if you don't see okay. Well, it looks like the same. Q Yeah. It looks like it is for Dominion. At least it matches up somewhat, but the transaction we were just talking about does not appear on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that? A My worksheet. Q Do you have a worksheet for each "Notice of Conversion"? A No. I have a worksheet for each investor and a summary worksheet.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each investor. So if you don't see okay. Well, it looks like the same. Q Yeah. It looks like it is for Dominion. At least it matches up somewhat, but the transaction we were just talking about does not appear on this spreadsheet, and there's no, as far as I can tell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that? A My worksheet. Q Do you have a worksheet for each "Notice of Conversion"? A No. I have a worksheet for each investor and a summary worksheet. MR. GINSBERG: I don't think that's been produced to us either.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each investor. So if you don't see okay. Well, it looks like the same. Q Yeah. It looks like it is for Dominion. At least it matches up somewhat, but the transaction we were just talking about does not appear on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that? A My worksheet. Q Do you have a worksheet for each "Notice of Conversion"? A No. I have a worksheet for each investor and a summary worksheet. MR. GINSBERG: I don't think that's been	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each investor. So if you don't see okay. Well, it looks like the same. Q Yeah. It looks like it is for Dominion. At least it matches up somewhat, but the transaction we were just talking about does not appear on this spreadsheet, and there's no, as far as I can tell A Then maybe that's not the I don't know where this was taken from.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that? A My worksheet. Q Do you have a worksheet for each "Notice of Conversion"? A No. I have a worksheet for each investor and a summary worksheet. MR. GINSBERG: I don't think that's been produced to us either. Q Can you describe in more detail what your	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each investor. So if you don't see okay. Well, it looks like the same. Q Yeah. It looks like it is for Dominion. At least it matches up somewhat, but the transaction we were just talking about does not appear on this spreadsheet, and there's no, as far as I can tell A Then maybe that's not the I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that? A My worksheet. Q Do you have a worksheet for each "Notice of Conversion"? A No. I have a worksheet for each investor and a summary worksheet. MR. GINSBERG: I don't think that's been produced to us either. Q Can you describe in more detail what your worksheet for Dominion	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each investor. So if you don't see okay. Well, it looks like the same. Q Yeah. It looks like it is for Dominion. At least it matches up somewhat, but the transaction we were just talking about does not appear on this spreadsheet, and there's no, as far as I can tell A Then maybe that's not the I don't know where this was taken from. Q But you will agree with me that the transaction we were just talking about does not appear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that? A My worksheet. Q Do you have a worksheet for each "Notice of Conversion"? A No. I have a worksheet for each investor and a summary worksheet. MR. GINSBERG: I don't think that's been produced to us either. Q Can you describe in more detail what your worksheet for Dominion A It's the same as any other investors. I have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each investor. So if you don't see okay. Well, it looks like the same. Q Yeah. It looks like it is for Dominion. At least it matches up somewhat, but the transaction we were just talking about does not appear on this spreadsheet, and there's no, as far as I can tell A Then maybe that's not the I don't know where this was taken from. Q But you will agree with me that the transaction we were just talking about does not appear on this spreadsheet; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that? A My worksheet. Q Do you have a worksheet for each "Notice of Conversion"? A No. I have a worksheet for each investor and a summary worksheet. MR. GINSBERG: I don't think that's been produced to us either. Q Can you describe in more detail what your worksheet for Dominion A It's the same as any other investors. I have each tab for each investor listing every time there's a	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each investor. So if you don't see okay. Well, it looks like the same. Q Yeah. It looks like it is for Dominion. At least it matches up somewhat, but the transaction we were just talking about does not appear on this spreadsheet, and there's no, as far as I can tell A Then maybe that's not the I don't know where this was taken from. Q But you will agree with me that the transaction we were just talking about does not appear
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	set forth in Exhibit 13 was somehow inaccurate? A I don't remember a specific I don't remember why the calculation was different from the "Notice of Conversion" and the instruction. Q Do you know whether do you recall whether you identified a mistaken calculation at this point? A Well, I must have I must have had a document somewhere, the difference between the conversion and the instruction notice, but I don't remember the specific. Q What kind of document would reflect that? A My worksheet. Q Do you have a worksheet for each "Notice of Conversion"? A No. I have a worksheet for each investor and a summary worksheet. MR. GINSBERG: I don't think that's been produced to us either. Q Can you describe in more detail what your worksheet for Dominion A It's the same as any other investors. I have each tab for each investor listing every time there's a conversion, the date, the principal, and the conversion	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	when you print that document, it won't appear. So it's not like there is a tick mark and a tick-mark reference with an explanation of the bill on the document. Q I don't see any entry for March 15th, 2019. A Well, I don't know where this is coming from. So this appendix Exhibit 4 I'm sorry is it for Dominion? Q It was produced to us as part of this litigation. I think it was the only spreadsheet that was produced to us. A Yeah. But there is different tabs for each investor. So if you don't see okay. Well, it looks like the same. Q Yeah. It looks like it is for Dominion. At least it matches up somewhat, but the transaction we were just talking about does not appear on this spreadsheet, and there's no, as far as I can tell A Then maybe that's not the I don't know where this was taken from. Q But you will agree with me that the transaction we were just talking about does not appear on this spreadsheet; correct? A Yeah. Obviously, you don't see those numbers.

	*	Page 226	Page 228
1	Q What decision?	1	Q And what was his reaction to that?
2	A Of not honoring further conversion.	2	A I don't recall.
3		3	Q Did to the best of your knowledge, did the
4	Q Who was the member you recall A Mr. White. Sorry.	4	head of the audit committee ever disclose to the board
5	Q You've been very good today.	5	that you had determined that the conversion
6	You recall Mr. White	6	calculations that had been used were incorrect?
7	A Yes.	7	MR. CLOUGH: Objection. Calls for
8	Q specifically approving the decision not to	8	speculation.
9	honor the company's legal obligations; is that your	9	THE WITNESS: I don't know specifically if he
10	testimony?	10	had. I must have disclosed this in the minutes
11	A Correct.	11	following the or leading to the 10-K. This must
12	MR. CLOUGH: Object to the form. Calls for	12	have been I must have brought that in in the audit
13	speculation.	13	committee not audit committee board of directors
14	BY MR. GINSBERG:	14	meeting.
15	Q Were you	15	BY MR. GINSBERG:
16	MR. CLOUGH: I'm fixing my form objection.	16	Q Do you recall telling the board of your
17	Go ahead. I hear it. Go ahead.	17	determination?
18	BY MR. GINSBERG:	18	A I do not recall specifically, but I'm pretty
19	Q Do you recall what words specifically	19	confident this must have been disclosed.
20	Mr. White used?	20	MR. GINSBERG: Can you put that on your list
21	MR. CLOUGH: You can answer.	21	of items to produce if there are any board minutes that
22	THE WITNESS: No.	22	reflect what the witness has just testified to?
23	BY MR. GINSBERG:	23	MR. FLAGG: If there are any.
24	Q Was there any discussion about the issue that	24	MR. CLOUGH: Again, we will review the company
25	you had raised in the early part of your deposition	25	records, and to the extent that we need to supplement
		Page 227	Page 229
1	today about whether the number of shares that had been	1	our responses to the requests for production, we will
2	issued pursuant to the conversion notices had been	2	in accordance with the Federal Rules of Procedure.
3	incorrectly calculated?	3	BY MR. GINSBERG:
4	A They were no form of communication, but they	4	Q Do you recall the board discussing what
5	were ongoing discussions with the chairman of the	5	measures, if any, should be taken as a result of what
6	board of the audit committee, Mr. Ken Weaver.	6	you told them was a miscalculation of the conversions?
7	Q Tell me about those communications.	7	MR. CLOUGH: Object to the form.
8	MR. CLOUGH: Communications that counsel is	8	THE WITNESS: No. What I told them was that
9	asking you to draw upon, who was present, but was there	e 9	was a difference of interpretation between the auditors
10	anyone else present besides you and Mr. Weaver?	10	and my interpretation of what the document states, and
11	THE WITNESS: No, there was nobody outside.	11	by "document," I mean the June 2018 debenture.
12	BY MR. GINSBERG:	12	BY MR. GINSBERG:
13	Q Tell me about those conversations.	13	Q Did you tell the board that the auditors
14	A Regular ongoing business, financial matrix	14	believed that the conversions were properly calculated
15	where the business was going, profitability,	15	and that you disagreed with that?
16	break-even.	16	A Not in the conversion. At the time, it was
17	Q Tell me about the conversation with regard to	17	the accounting implication of such disconnect and
18	the calculation of the conversion notice and the	18	interpretation.
19	conversions.	19	Q Did you ever tell anyone
20	A Well, it happened, I believe I recall	20	Did you ever tell the board that you believed
21	around the year-end audit when I had to draft the	21	that the actual method of calculation was incorrect?
22	accounting memo we were discussing earlier.	22	A That I must have tell I must have told the
23	Q You remember conversations with the head of	23	board that I believe the my interpretation was that
24	the audit committee in that conversation?	24	the conversion was should be at a 15 percent
25	A Yes, I mentioned this.	25	discount to VWAP but the document states